

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,252	10/02/2003	Kwang Min Kim	054358-5018	3449	
9629	7590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			CHUNG, DAVID Y		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	., 20 2000		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ AX
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,252	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	1,1
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowing the condition for all the conditions for all t	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that of the correct that any objected to by the sheet that any objected to by the sheet are the correct that are sheet and the correct that are th	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least term of the priority document o	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6	Paper No 08) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🛄 Other:	·	

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner hereby withdraws the previous election of species requirement in favor of the current election of species requirement in order to correct an oversight and avoid any confusion in the future. The previous requirement mailed on June 2, 2005 incorrectly identified claim 9 as belonging to group I. The current requirement correctly identifies claim 9 as belonging to group II since the claim specifically recites that the surface of the array substrate is rubbed in a 225 degree direction.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a liquid crystal display device wherein the surface of the array substrate is rubbed in a 315 degree direction
- II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a liquid crystal display device wherein the surface of the array substrate is rubbed in a 225 degree direction

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/676,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-2288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

#T. NGUYEN
WEXAMINER

David Chung GAU 2871 09/16/05